arXiv:1510.04911v1 [math.CA] 16 Oct 2015

POSITIVE ORTHOGONAL FUNCTIONS

FLORIAN DELAGE

Abstract. The existence or non-existence of positive orthogonal functions for subspaces of almost periodic functions has important applications in studying the oscillatory behavior of vibrations. Cazenave, Haraux and Komornik have obtained a number of theorems of this type. The purpose of this paper is to answer an open question formulated in the 1980's, and to completely clarify the situation for subspaces defined by three periods.

1. Introduction

Given a positive real number T, we denote by X_T the vector space of locally square summable, T-periodic functions of zero mean value. We will often identify the functions in X_T with their restrictions to the interval $(0,T)$.

By the elements of Fourier series we know that

- X_T is spanned by the family of exponential functions $e^{2i\pi mt/T}$ where m runs over the set of non-zero integers;
- X_T is the orthogonal complement in $L^2(0,T)$ of the characteristic function h_1 of $(0,T)$.

We observe in this case that h_1 is strictly positive on $(0,T)$.

Now let us consider finitely many positive real numbers $T_1,\dots,T_n$ and the vector space $X := X_{T_1} + \cdots + X_{T_n}$. Generalizing some earlier theorems in [6] and [5], the following results were obtained in [7]:

- there exists a number $T > 0$ such that X is dense in $L^2(0,T')$ for all $0 < T' < T$, and X is not dense for any $T' > T$;
- X has a finite codimension d in $L^2(0,T)$, satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq d \leq n$.

Moreover, T and d have been explicitly determined in function of the arithmetical properties of the periods $T_1,\dots,T_n$.

In particular, it was shown that $d = 1$ if and only if all the ratios T_i/T_j are rational. In this case X_T is the orthogonal complement in $L^2(0,T)$ of some function h_n again. Since X is invariant for complex conjugation, h_n may (and will) be assumed to be real-valued. Then we

Date: Version of 2015-10-16.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A75.

Key words and phrases. Almost periodic functions, orthogonality, oscilations, residue classes.

may ask whether this function may be chosen to be strictly positive on $(0, T)$ as in case $n = 1$.

Apart from its own interest, the existence or non-existence of positive orthogonal functions has important consequences concerning certain oscillation properties of vibrating membranes and plates; see, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13] and their references.

It follows from the results of [7] that h_2 always may be chosen to be strictly positive, while for $n = 4$ and $(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) = (105, 70, 42, 30)$ the function h_4 has both strictly positive and strictly negative values.

It remained an open question whether there exist such counterexamples for $n = 3$. Answering this question we prove that h_3 can never have both strictly positive and strictly negative values.

Moreover, we completely clarify the sign of h_3, by characterizing its strict positivity in functions of the arithmetic properties of the periods T_1, T_2, T_3.

Since the ratios T_i/T_j are rational, we may assume by scaling that the periods are positive integers. We will use the notations $\langle a, b\rangle$ and $\langle a, b, c\rangle$ for the greatest common divisor of the integers a, b and a, b, c, respectively.

In this case the results of [7] show that

$$T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 - \langle T_1, T_2\rangle - \langle T_2, T_3\rangle - \langle T_3, T_1\rangle + \langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle,$$

and that h_3 is constant on each interval

$$((j-1)\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle, j\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle), \quad j = 1, \dots, T/\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle.$$

It follows from the minimality of T that this constant is nonzero on the first and the last of these intervals.

Denoting the sequence of these constants by $c_0, \dots, c_{T/\langle T_1,T_2,T_3\rangle}$, we will often write

$$h_n \sim c_0, \dots, c_{T/\langle T_1,T_2,T_3\rangle}$$

for brevity. Then $c_0 \neq 0$ and $c_{T/\langle T_1,T_2,T_3\rangle} \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let T_1, T_2, T_3 be three positive integers, and choose h_3 to be positive in a right neighborhood of 0.*

(i) *h_3 is nonnegative in $(0, T)$.*
(ii) *h_3 vanishes on at least one of the above intervals if and only if $1 < \langle T_i, T_j\rangle < \min(T_i, T_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$.*

Examples.

- For $(T_1, T_2, T_3) = (26, 24, 9)$ we have $\langle 26, 9\rangle = 1$ and
  $$h_3 \sim 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 5,\ 7,\ 9,\ 12,\ 15,\ 18,\ 21,\ 24,\ 27,\ 29,\ 31,\ 33,\ 34,\ 35,$$
  $$36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 36,\ 35,\ 34,\ 33,\ 3,\ 29,\ 27,\ 24,\ 21,$$
  $$18,\ 15,\ 12,\ 9,\ 7,\ 5,\ 3,\ 2,\ 1,$$
  so that $h_3 > 0$.
- For $(T_1, T_2, T_3) = (4, 8, 13)$ we have $\langle 4, 8\rangle = \min(4, 8)$ and

$h_3 \sim 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,$

so that $h_3 > 0$ again.

- On the other hand, in case $(T_1, T_2, T_3) = (35, 21, 15)$ we have $\langle 35, 21\rangle = 7$, $\langle 35, 15\rangle = 5$ and $\langle 21, 15\rangle = 3$. Hence $1 < \langle T_i, T_j\rangle < \min(T_i, T_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$, and

$h_3 \sim 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1.$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be based on the following explicit representation of the function h_3:

Theorem 1.2. *There exist three positive integer p, q, r and a sequence $(a_j)_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1}$ of real numbers such that*

$$h_3(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j h_2(t - j\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle).$$

Furthermore,

(i) *if $q = 1$, then $(a_j)_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} = (a_j)_{j=0}^{pr-r}$ is the beginning of the sequence $(1, 0^{r-1})^\infty$;*

(ii) *if $r = 1$, then $(a_j)_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} = (a_j)_{j=0}^{pq-q}$ is the beginning of the sequence $(1, 0^{q-1})^\infty$;*

(iii) *if $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$, then the sequence $(a_j)_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1}$ is qr-periodical and it is the beginning of the sequence*

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 0^{lq}(1, -1, 0^{r-2})^\infty = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 0^{lr}(1, -1, 0^{q-2})^\infty.$$

In the above theorem we use the following notations:

$$(1, 0^{r-1}) = 1, \underbrace{0, \ldots\ldots, 0}_{r-1};$$

$$(1, -1, 0^3)^\infty = 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots.$$

The plan of this paper is the following. In Sections 2, 3 we recall the construction of h_n for $n = 2, 3$, and we present a new, simpler construction of h_3. Using this construction Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Sections 4–6. In the final Section 7 of the paper we present some partial results and conjectures concerning the case $n > 3$ and the existence of a smallest number T' such that $L^2(0, T')$ contains a strictly positive function orthogonal to X.

2. The construction of h_2

Fix two positive integers T_1 and T_2. Then the set $X := \overline{X_{T_1} + X_{T_2}}$ is spanned by the functions $e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}$ where m runs over the non-zero multiples of T_1 and T_2.

We recall from [7] that

$$T_{12} := T = T_1 + T_2 - \langle T_1, T_2\rangle.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Apart from a multiplicative constant the function h_2 is given by the formula*

$$h_2(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{T_{12}-T_1}{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}} h_1(t - j\langle T_1, T_2\rangle) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{T_2}{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}-1} h_1(t - j\langle T_1, T_2\rangle), \tag{2.1}$$

where h_1 denotes the characteristic function of the interval $[0, T_1)$.

In particular, $h_2 > 0$ in $(0, T)$.

Remark. If T_2 is a divisor of T_1, then we have simply $X = X_{T_1}$, $T_{12} = T_1$ and $h_2 = h_1$.

Proof. We assume by scaling that $\langle T_1, T_2\rangle = 1$. Since we already know that h_2 is unique apart from a multiplicative constant, it suffices to check that the function given by (2.1) satisfies the equality

$$\int_0^T h_2(t)e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}dt = 0$$

for all non-zero integers m such that m/T_1 or m/T_2 is integer.

Using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T h_2(t)e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}dt &= \int_0^T \sum_{j=0}^{T_2-1} h_1(t-j)e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{T_2-1} e^{2i\pi mj/T_1T_2} \int_0^T h_1(t)e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}dt.\end{aligned}$$

If $k := m/T_2$ is a non-zero integer and, then, since $h_1 = 0$ in (T_1, T),

$$\int_0^T h_1(t)e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2}dt = \int_0^{T_1} h_1(t)e^{2i\pi kt/T_1}dt = 0$$

by the definition of h_1.

If $\ell := m/T_1$ is integer and m/T_2 is not integer, then $\ell/T_2 = m/T_1T_2$ is not integer either, so that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{T_2-1} e^{2i\pi mj/T_1T_2} = \sum_{j=0}^{T_2-1} e^{2i\pi \ell j/T_2} = \frac{e^{2i\pi\ell}-1}{e^{2i\pi\ell/T_2}-1} = 0. \qquad \square$$

3. The construction of h_3

Fix three positive integers T_1, T_2 and T_3. Then the set

$$X := \overline{X_{T_1} + X_{T_2} + X_{T_3}}$$

is spanned by the functions $e^{2i\pi mt/T_1T_2T_3}$ where m runs over the non-zero multiples of T_1T_2, T_2T_3 and T_3T_1.

We recall from [7] that

$$T_{123} := T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 - \langle T_1, T_2\rangle - \langle T_2, T_3\rangle - \langle T_3, T_1\rangle + \langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle.$$

In order to simplify the formulas we assume henceforth by a scaling argument that

$$\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle = 1. \tag{3.1}$$

We will prove that h_3, which is determined apart from a multiplicative constant, has the form

$$h_3(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{T_{123}-T_{12}} a_j h_2(t-j)$$

with h_2 and T_{12} as introduced in the preceding section, and a suitable sequence (a_j) of real numbers. The sign of h_3 will depend on the coefficients a_j.

The coefficients a_j have to be chosen so that

$$\int h_3(t) e^{2i\pi mt/(T_1T_2T_3)} dt = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all non-zero integers m such that at least one of the fractions m/T_1T_2, m/T_1T_3 or m/T_2T_3 is integer.

Let us rewrite the integral in (3.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int h_3(t) e^{2i\pi mt/(T_1T_2T_3)} dt \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{T_3-\langle T_1,T_3\rangle-\langle T_2,T_3\rangle+1} a_j \int h_2(t-j) e^{2i\pi mt/(T_1T_2T_3)} dt \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{T_3-\langle T_1,T_3\rangle-\langle T_2,T_3\rangle+1} a_j e^{2i\pi mj/(T_1T_2T_3)} \int h_2(t) e^{2i\pi mt/(T_1T_2T_3)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of h_2 the last integral vanishes for all non-zero multiples of T_1T_3 and T_2T_3. Therefore it suffices to choose the coefficients a_j so that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{T_3-\langle T_1,T_3\rangle-\langle T_2,T_3\rangle+1} a_j e^{2i\pi mj/(T_1T_2T_3)} = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for all multiples m of T_1T_2 such that none of m/T_1T_3 and m/T_2T_3 is integer.

The following remarks allow us to further simplify the problem.

(i) Using the periodicity of the exponential functions the equations (3.3) do not change if we add to m a multiple of $T_1T_2T_3$. We may therefore assume that $0 < m < T_1T_2T_3$.
(ii) If T_3 is a divisor of T_1 or T_2 then $X = X_{T_1} + X_{T_2}$, $T_{123} = T_{12}$ and $h_3 = h_2$. In this case $h_3 > 0$ on $(0, T)$.
(iii) If $\langle T_1, T_3\rangle = \langle T_2, T_3\rangle = 1$, then we may choose $a_j = 1$ for all j. Hence $h_3 > 0$ on $(0, T)$ again.

In order to investigate the general cases we transform the system (3.3) further as follows.

Setting $n := m/T_1T_2$, the system becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{T_3-\langle T_1,T_3\rangle-\langle T_2,T_3\rangle+1} a_j e^{2i\pi nj/T_3} = 0, \quad n \in N, \tag{3.4}$$

where N is the set of integers n satisfying

$$0 < n < T_3, \quad \frac{nT_2}{T_3} \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{nT_1}{T_3} \notin \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us introduce the integers

$$q := \langle T_1, T_3\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad r := \langle T_2, T_3\rangle. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $\langle q, r\rangle = 1$ by our assumption $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle = 1$, and therefore

$$T_3 = pqr \tag{3.7}$$

for a suitable positive integer p.

Let us also introduce two positive integers α and β such that

$$T_1 = \alpha q \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \beta r;$$

then we have

$$q = \langle T_1, T_3\rangle = \langle \alpha q, pqr\rangle = q\langle \alpha, pr\rangle$$

and

$$r = \langle T_2, T_3\rangle = \langle \beta r, pqr\rangle = r\langle \beta, pq\rangle.$$

We infer from the above that

$$\langle q, r\rangle = \langle \alpha, pr\rangle = \langle \beta, pq\rangle = 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Using these relations the expressions (3.4)–(3.5) may be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j e^{2i\pi jn/pqr} = 0, \quad n \in N, \tag{3.9}$$

where N is the set of integers satisfying the conditions

$$0 < n < pqr, \quad \frac{n\beta}{pq} \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n\alpha}{pr} \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (3.8) the definition of N may be simplified to

$$N := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \ : \ 0 < n < pqr, \quad \frac{n}{pq} \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n}{pr} \notin \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now we are ready to prove the existence of h_3 in the required form, and even to construct the coefficients a_j explicitly:

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a sequence (a_j) of real numbers satisfying* (3.9).

Moreover, the following identify holds:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j x^j = \frac{(1-x)(1-x^{pqr})}{(1-x^q)(1-x^r)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. First we show that the right side of (3.11) defines a polynomial $Q(x)$. For this we prove that each zero of the denominator is also a zero of the numerator, with at least the same multiplicity.

It is clear that $x = 1$ is a double zero of both the denominator and the numerator.

All other zeros of the denominator are simple because of the condition $\langle q, r\rangle = 1$ (see (3.8)), and all of them are also zeros of the factor $1 - x^{pqr}$ in the numerator.

Next we observe that if n satisfies the conditions (3.10), then $x := e^{2i\pi n/pqr}$ is a zero of the factor $1 - x^{pqr}$ in the numerator, but it is not a zero of the denominator. Hence $Q(x) = 0$. We conclude by writing

$$Q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j x^j. \qquad \square$$

4. Proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1

Given a step function h of the form

$$h(x) := c_k \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [k, k+1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with $c_k = 0$ for all but finitely many nonnegative integers k, we introduce an associated polynomial by setting

$$P(x) := \sum_k c_k x^k.$$

We observe that if the coefficients of P are nonnegative, then h is a nonnegative function.

We know that h_1, h_2, h_3 are step functions. Let us determine the polynomials P_1, P_2, P_3 associated with h_1, h_2, h_3.

(i) We have $h_1 = \chi_{[0,T_1)}$ and hence

$$P_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} x^k = \frac{1-x^{T_1}}{1-x}.$$

(ii) It follows from the formula

$$h_2(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{T_2}{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}-1} h_1(t - j\langle T_1, T_2\rangle)$$

that

$$P_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{T_2}{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}-1} x^{j\langle T_1,T_2\rangle} \cdot P_1(x) = \frac{1-x^{T_2}}{1-x^{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}} \cdot \frac{1-x^{T_1}}{1-x}.$$

(iii) Applying Proposition 3.1 it follows from the formula

$$h_3(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{T_{123}-T_{12}} a_j h_2(t-j)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} P_3(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j x^j P_2(x) = Q(x)P_2(x) \\ &= \frac{1-X^{pqr}}{1-X^q} \cdot \frac{1-X^{T_2}}{1-X^r} \cdot \frac{1-X^{T_1}}{1-X^{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle}}. \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof by observing that each fraction of the last product is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. Indeed, since pqr, T_2, T_1 are multiples of $q, r, \langle T_1, T_2\rangle$, respectively, this follows from the identity

$$\frac{1-X^{ab}}{1-X^b} = \sum_{k=0}^{a} x^{kb},$$

valid for all positive integers a and b.

We have established the nonnegativity of h_3. For the proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii) we need the more explicit formula of Theorem 1.2 of the coefficients a_j. This will be derived in the next section by a different method.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We continue to use the notations and assumptions of Section 3; in particular we assume that $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3\rangle = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i), (ii). In case $q = 1$ we have to show that if $(a_j)_{j=0}^{pr-r}$ is the beginning of the sequence $(1, 0^{r-1})^\infty$ and $A = e^{2i\pi n/pqr}$ for some $n \in N$ (see (3.10)), then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{pr-r} a_j A^j = 0.$$

Since $A^{pqr} = 1$ and $A^r \neq 1$ (because $\dfrac{n}{pq} \notin \mathbb{Z}$), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r(p-1)} a_j A^j = 1 + A^r + \cdots + A^{(p-1)r} = \frac{1 - A^{pr}}{1 - A^r} = 0.$$

In case $r = 1$ we may repeat this proof by exchanging q and r. □

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 (iii) we need a lemma:

Lemma 5.1.

(i) *For each $0 < j < qr$ the sum defining a_j contains at most one term 1 and at most one term -1.*
(ii) *If $(q-1)(r-1) < j < qr$, then we have $a_j = 0$.*
(iii) *We have $a_{(q-1)(r-1)} = 1$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that the sum defining a_{j_0} contains two different terms 1, i.e.,

$$j_0 = l_1 q + m_1 r = l_2 q + m_2 r$$

with some nonnegative integers l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 such that $(l_1, m_1) \neq (l_2, m_2)$. Then $l_1 \neq l_2$, $m_1 \neq m_2$, $l_1, l_2 \in \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$ because $0 < j_0 < qr$ and

$$(l_1 - l_2)q = (m_2 - m_1)r.$$

Since q and r are relatively prime, this implies that r is a divisor of $(l_1 - l_2)$, hence $|l_1 - l_2| \geq r$ contradicting the hypothesis above.

Now assume that the sum defining a_{j_0} contains two different terms -1. Then we have

$$j_0 - 1 = l_1 q + m_1 r = l_2 q + m_2 r$$

with some nonnegative integers l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 such that $(l_1, m_1) \neq (l_2, m_2)$, and we may repeat the preceding proof.

(ii) If $j < qr$, then the sum defining a_j contains at most one term 1 and at most one term -1 by (i). To complete the proof we show that for each index satisfying $(q-1)(r-1) < j < qr$ there exist nonnegative integers l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 such that

$$j = l_1 q + m_1 r \quad \text{and} \quad j = l_2 q + m_2 r + 1.$$

Since q and r are relatively prime

$$\{j - kq : 0 \leq k \leq r - 1\}$$

form a complete system of residues modulo r. Hence one of them, say $j - l_1 q$ is a multiple of r, so that $j = l_1 q + m_1 r$ with some integer m_1. Since $j > (q-1)(r-1)$, we have

$$j - l_1 q > (q-1)(r-1) - (r-1)q \geq 1 - r,$$

so that $m_1 \geq 0$.

We remark for later reference that this part of the proof remains valid for $j = (q-1)(r-1)$, too, because then we still have

$$j - l_1 q \geq (q-1)(r-1) - (r-1)q \geq 1 - r.$$

Similarly, the numbers

$$\{j - 1 - kq : 0 \leq k \leq r-1\}$$

form a complete system of residues modulo r, so that $j - 1 = l_2 q + m_2 r$ with some integer $0 \leq l_2 \leq r-1$ and m_2. Since

$$j - 1 - l_1 q \geq (q-1)(r-1) - (r-1)q \geq 1 - r,$$

we have $m_2 \geq 0$.

(iii) In view of (i) it sufficient to show that there exist nonnegative integers l_1, m_1 satisfying

$$(q-1)(r-1) = l_1 q + m_1 r,$$

but there do not exist nonnegative integers l_2, m_2 satisfying

$$(q-1)(r-1) = l_2 q + m_2 r + 1.$$

The existence of l_1 and m_1 for $(q-1)(r-1)$ has been proved during the proof of (ii).

Next, since

$$(r-1)q + 1 > (q-1)(r-1),$$

the second equality could only hold if $0 \leq l_2 \leq r-2$, i.e., if one of the numbers

$$(q-1)(r-1) - 1 - lq \quad (l = 0, \ldots, r-2)$$

is a multiple of r. But this is not the case because

$$(q-1)(r-1) - 1 - lq \quad (l = 0, \ldots, r-1)$$

is a complete system of residues modulo r, and the extra element

$$(q-1)(r-1) - 1 - (r-1)q = -r$$

is a multiple of r.

□

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (iii). Set again $A = e^{2i\pi n/pqr}$ for some $n \in N$ (see (3.10)). We have clearly $A^{pqr} = 1$.

Since

$$pqr - q - r + 1 = (p-1)qr + (q-1)(r-1),$$

it follows from Lemma 5.1 (ii) and the qr-periodicity of (a_j) that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{pr-1-r+1} a_j A^j &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=kqr}^{kqr+(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_{j+kqr} A^{j+kqr} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_j A^{j+kqr}.\end{aligned}$$

If $A^{qr} \neq 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_j A^{j+kqr} = \frac{A^{j+pqr} - A^j}{A^{qr} - 1} = 0$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{j=0}^{pqr-r-q+1} a_j A^j = 0.$$

Henceforth we assume that $A^{qr} = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{pr-1-r+1} a_j A^j = \sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_j A^{j+kqr} = p \sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j,$$

and it remains to prove that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

It follows from the definition of (a_j) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j = \sum_{\substack{0 \le j \le (q-1)(r-1) \\ j = \ell q + mr}} A^j - \sum_{\substack{0 \le j \le (q-1)(r-1) \\ j = \ell q + mr + 1}} A^j.$$

Applying Lemma 5.1 (iii) this yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j = A^{(q-1)(r-1)} + (1-A) \sum_{\substack{0 \le j < (q-1)(r-1) \\ j = \ell q + mr}} A^j. \tag{5.2}$$

We have to prove that this last expression vanishes.

In order to simplify the last sum, for each $\ell = -1, 0, \ldots, r-2$ we denote by m_ℓ the smallest integer m satisfying $\ell q + mr \ge (q-1)(r-1)$. For example, we have $m_{-1} = q$ and thus $-q + m_{-1} r = q(r-1)$ because

$$-q + qr \ge (q-1)(r-1) \quad \text{but} \quad -q + (q-1)r < (q-1)(r-1).$$

Using this notation we deduce from (5.2) the following equality by summing some geometric series[1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j &= A^{(q-1)(r-1)} + (1-A)\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-2}\sum_{m=0}^{m_\ell-1} A^{\ell q+mr} \\ &= A^{(q-1)(r-1)} + \frac{1-A}{1-A^r}\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-2}\left(A^{\ell q} - A^{\ell q+m_\ell r}\right) \\ &= A^{(q-1)(r-1)} + \frac{(1-A)(1-A^{(r-1)q})}{(1-A^r)(1-A^q)} \\ &\qquad - \frac{1-A}{1-A^r}\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-2} A^{\ell q+m_\ell r}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-2} A^{\ell q+m_\ell r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} A^{(q-1)(r-1)+k}, \tag{5.3}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{(q-1)(r-1)} a_j A^j = A^{(q-1)(r-1)} &+ \frac{(1-A)(1-A^{(r-1)q})}{(1-A^r)(1-A^q)} \\ &- \frac{1-A}{1-A^r}A^{(q-1)(r-1)}\frac{1-A^{r-1}}{1-A}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Proof of (5.3). Since $(q,r)=1$, $\{\ell q + m_\ell r \ : \ \ell = -1, 0, \dots, r-2\}$ is a complete system of residues modulo r. Since, furthermore

$$(q-1)(r-1) \le \ell q + m_\ell r < (q-1)(r-1) + r$$

by definition of m_ℓ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\ell q + m_\ell r \ : \ \ell = -1, 0, \dots, r-2\} \\ &\qquad = \{(q-1)(r-1) + k \ : \ k = 0, \dots, r-1\}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since we already know that $-q + m_{-1} r = q(r-1)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\ell q + m_\ell r \ : \ \ell = 0, \dots, r-2\} \\ &\qquad = \{(q-1)(r-1) + k \ : \ k = 0, \dots, r-2\},\end{aligned}$$

and this is equivalent to (5.3). □

In order to prove (5.1) it remains to show that the right side of (5.4) vanishes. Multiplying by $(1-A^r)(1-A^q)$ in order to eliminate the

[1]The value $\ell = -1$ is not used here but we will need it later.

denominators, we are going to show, equivalently that the following expression vanishes:

$$E := (1-A^r)(1-A^q)A^{(q-1)(r-1)} + (1-A)(1-A^{(r-1)q}) \\ - (1-A^{r-1})(1-A^q)A^{(q-1)(r-1)}.$$

Combining the terms containing the factor $A^{(q-1)(r-1)}$ and taking into account that $A^{qr}=1$, we may simplify the expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= A^{(q-1)(r-1)}(1-A^q)(A^{r-1}-A^r) + (1-A)(1-A^{(r-1)q}) \\ &= A^{1-q-r}(1-A^q)A^{r-1}(1-A) + (1-A)(1-A^{-q}) \\ &= A^{-q}(1-A^q)(1-A) + (1-A)(1-A^{-q}) \\ &= (A^{-q}-1)(1-A) + (1-A)(1-A^{-q}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

We end this section by giving an interesting corollary of Lemma 5.1:

Corollary 5.2. *The function h_3 is symmetrical with respect to the middle of its support.*

Proof. Since each function $f \in X_T = X_{T_1} + X_{T_2} + X_{T_3}$ is symmetrical with respect to $T/2$, $h_3(T-t)$ is also orthogonal to X_T and hence $h_3(T-t) \equiv Kh_3(t)$ for a suitable constant K.

Since

$$a_{pqr-q-r+1} = a_{qr-q-r+1} = a_{(q-1)(r-1)} = 1 = a_0$$

by Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 5.1, h_3 has the same values at the beginning and at the end of its support, so that $K=1$. □

6. Proof of the second part of Theorem 1.1

The proof is based on the study of the finer structure of h_2 and (a_j). We need a lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *If $\langle T_1, T_2\rangle < T_2 < T_1$, then setting $d := \langle T_1, T_2\rangle$ and $m := T_2/d (\geq 2)$ we have*

$$h_2 \sim 1^d 2^d \cdots (m-1)^d m^{T_1-T_2+d}(m-1)^d \cdots 2^d 1^d,$$

where a block a^b means b consecutive elements a, and we omit the commas on the right side.

Proof. It follows from the formulas

$$h_1 \sim 1^{T_1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h_1(t-dj)$$

that

$$h_2 \sim 1^d, 2^d, \ldots, (n-1)^d, n^b, (n-1)^d \ldots, 2^d, 1^d$$

for some integers $1 \leq n \leq m$ and $b \geq 0$.

We have $n = m$ because $T_1 > T_2 = md > (m-1)d$, and therefore the supports of the n functions $h_1(t-dj)$ contain a common interval. More precisely, the intersection of the supports is the interval $[(m-1)d, T_1] = [T_2 - d, T_1]$, whence $b = T_1 - T_2 + d$. □

Example. If $(T_1, T_2) = (7, 4)$ then $h_1 = 1^7$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{3} h_1(t-j) \\ &\sim 1^7000 + 01^700 + 001^70 + 0001^7 \\ &= 1234444321 \\ &= 1^12^13^14^{(7-4+1)}3^12^11^1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii). We distinguish three cases:

(i) If $\langle T_i, T_j \rangle = \min\{T_i, T_j\}$ for some $i \neq j$, then h_3 is strictly positive.

Indeed, since h_3 does not change for any permutation of the periods, we may assume that

$$\langle T_1, T_3 \rangle = \min(T_1, T_3) = T_1.$$

Then T_3 is a multiple of T_1, so that $h_3 = h_2$. We conclude by recalling that h_2 is always strictly positive.

(ii) If $\langle T_i, T_j \rangle = 1$ for some $i \neq j$, then h_3 is strictly positive.

Indeed, we may assume by a permutation that $q = 1$ where we use the notations

$$q = \langle T_1, T_3 \rangle \quad , r = \langle T_2, T_3 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad T_3 = pqr$$

of Section 3. Applying Theorem 1.2 we have

$$h_3 = \sum_{j=0}^{pr-r} a_j h_2(t-j)$$

with $(a_j) = (1, 0^{r-1})^\infty$. Since h_2 is strictly positive and all coefficients a_j are nonnegative, we conclude that $h_3 > 0$.

(iii) Assume finally that $1 < \langle T_i, T_j \rangle < \min\{T_i, T_j\}$ whenever $i \neq j$. We will show that h_3 vanishes in the interval $[1, 2)$.

We use the usual expression

$$h_3(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{pqr-q-r+1} a_j h_2(t-j) \tag{6.1}$$

where p, q, r are given as in the preceding case. Since $q > 1$ and $r > 1$ by our asumptions, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = -1$. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that $h_2 = 1$ on the interval $(0, d)$ with $d = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle \geq 2$. Applying

the formula (6.1) we conclude that $h_3 = a_0 = 1$ in $[0,1)$ and $h_3 = a_0 + a_1 = 1 - 1 = 0$ in $[1,2)$.

□

7. Some results for more than three periods

Given any finite number of positive integers $T_1, \dots, T_n$, the set

$$X := \overline{X_{T_1} + \cdots + X_{T_n}}$$

is spanned by the functions $e^{2i\pi mt/(T_1 \cdots T_n)}$ where m runs over the non-zero multiples of the numbers $T_1 \cdots T_n/T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. There exists again a critical length $T \geq \min\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ and a function h_n such that X is the orthogonal complement of h_n in $L^2(0,T)$.

The method of Section 4 may be easily generalized to determine the function h_n. Let $n = 4$ and $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\rangle$ for simplicity. Then we have

$$h_4(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{T} a_j h_3(t-j)$$

with a suitable sequence (a_j) of real numbers determined apart from a multiplicative constant. Now the method of Section 4 shows that the numbers a_j are the coefficients of the polynomial

$$P_4(x) = \frac{(1-x^{T_1})(1-x^{T_2})(1-x^{T_3})(1-X^{\langle T_1,T_2,T_3\rangle})Q_4(x)}{(1-x)(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_2\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_2,T_3\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_3\rangle})}$$

with

$$Q_4(x) = \frac{(1-x^{T_4})(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_2,T_4\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_3,T_4\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_2,T_3,T_4\rangle})}{(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_4\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_2,T_4\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_3,T_4\rangle})(1-x^{\langle T_1,T_2,T_3,T_4\rangle})}.$$

Using this construction we may prove the following

Proposition 7.1. *If there exist two subsets $\{T_1^1, T_2^1, T_3^1\}$, $\{T_1^2, T_2^2, T_3^2\}$ of three elements and two subsets $\{T_1^3, T_2^3\}$ and $\{T_1^4, T_2^4\}$ of two elements of $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$ such that*

$$\langle T_1^1, T_2^1, T_3^1\rangle = \langle T_1^3, T_2^3\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle T_1^2, T_2^2, T_3^2\rangle = \langle T_1^4, T_2^4\rangle, \tag{7.1}$$

then the function $h_4(t)$ is nonnegative.

Remarks.

(i) It can be shown that the hypothesis (7.1) is satisfied if there exist two different couples (i,j) and (k,l) such that

$$\langle T_i, T_j\rangle = \langle T_k, T_l\rangle = 1.$$

(ii) The hypothesis (7.1) is also satisfied for

$$(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) = (66, 21, 12, 10).$$

In fact, we have even $h_4 > 0$ by a direct computation. This case was not covered by the theorems in [7].

We have also a sufficient condition for h_4 to change sign:

Proposition 7.2. *Let $(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) = (abc, abd, acd, bcd)$ with four relatively prime integers $1 < a < b < c < d$. Then the corresponding orthogonal function h_4 has both positive and negative values in $(0, T)$.*

Remark. The hypotheses of the proposition imply that $\langle T_i, T_j \rangle > 1$ whenever $i \neq j$. This last condition is not sufficient for h_4 to change sign. For example, if $(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) = (12, 18, 39, 42)$, then the corresponding function h_4 is nonnegative on $(0, T)$, although $\langle T_i, T_j \rangle > 1$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Proof. In this case the polynomial P_4 is given by

$$P_4 = P_0 \frac{(1-X^c)(1-X^d)(1-X^{abc})(1-X^{abd})(1-X^{acd})(1-X^{bcd})}{(1-X^{ac})(1-X^{ad})(1-X^{bc})(1-X^{bd})(1-X^{cd})}$$

with

$$P_0 = \frac{(1-X^a)(1-X^b}{(1-X)(1-X)(1-X^{ab})}.$$

Since the power series $\sum a_k X^k$ starts with $a_0 = 1$, it is sufficient to prove that $a_c < 0$ or $a_{b+c-1} < 0$. By uniqueness we may write

$$\begin{aligned} P_4(X) = P_0 &(1-X^c)(1-X^d)(1-X^{abc})(1-X^{abd})(1-X^{acd})(1-X^{bcd}) \\ &\times (1+X^{ab}+\cdots)(1+X^{ac}+\cdots)(1+X^{ad}+\cdots)(1+X^{bc}+\cdots) \\ &\times (1+X^{bd}+\cdots)(1+X^{cd}+\cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Since $ac, ad, bd, cd > b + c$, we have

$$P_4(X) \equiv P_0(1-X^c)(1-X^d) \equiv P_0(1-X^c-X^d)$$

modulo X^{b+c}. Writing $P_0 = \sum_k b_k X^k$ we obtain that

$$a_k = b_k - b_{k-c} - b_{k-d}. \tag{7.2}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= \sum_k b_k X^k \\ &= (1+X+\cdots+X^{a-1})(1+X+\cdots+X^{b-1})(1+X^{ab}+X^{2ab}+\cdots) \\ &= (\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}(k+1)X^k + \sum_{k=a}^{b-2} aX^k + \sum_{k=b-1}^{a+b-2} X^k)(1+X^{ab}+X^{2ab}+\cdots). \end{aligned}$$

All coefficients b_k of P_0 belong to $[\![0, a]\!] := \{0, 1, \ldots, a\}$. More precisely, since $a + b - 2 < ab$, denoting by r the remainder of k modulo ab, we have

$$b_k = a \Leftrightarrow r \in [\![a-1, b-1]\!]$$

and

$$b_k = 0 \Leftrightarrow r \in [\![a+b-1, ab-1]\!].$$

We complete the proof by showing that $a_{b+c-1} \geq 0$ implies $a_c < 0$. By (7.2) we have

$$a_{b+c-1} = b_{b+c-1} - b_{b-1} - b_{b+c-d-1} = b_{b+c-1} - a - b_{b+c-d-1}.$$

Hence $b_{b+c-1} = a$, the remainder r of $b + c - 1 \pmod{ab}$ belongs to $[\![a-1, b-1]\!]$ and therefore

$$b + c - 1 = kab + r \quad \text{with} \quad r \in [\![a-1, b-1]\!].$$

Setting $r' := ab + r - b + 1$ this may be rewritten in the form

$$c = (k-1)ab + r' \quad \text{with} \quad r' \in [\![ab + a - b, ab]\!].$$

Since c, a and b are relatively prime we cannot have $r' = ab$. Therefore in fact $r' \in [\![ab + a - b, ab - 1]\!]$, and hence $b_c = 0$. Using (7.2) again we conclude that

$$a_c = b_c - b_0 - b_{c-d} = 0 - 1 = -1. \qquad \square$$

Acknowledgments. The author wants to express his gratitude to Vilmos Komornik for his useful comments and suggestions and Peter Mueller for his help in the proof of Proposition 7.2. He also thanks Mohamad Maassarani for fruitful discussions.

References

[1] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *Propriétés oscillatoires des solutions de certaines équations des ondes semi-linéaires,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 298 (1984), no. 18, 449–452.

[2] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *On the nature of free oscillations associated with some semilinear wave equations,* Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France seminar, Vol. VII (Paris, 1983–1984), 3–4, 59–79, Res. Notes in Math., 122, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.

[3] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *Oscillatory phenomena associated to semilinear wave equations in one spatial dimension,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 300 (1987), no. 1, 207–233.

[4] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *Some oscillatory properties of the wave equation in several space dimensions,* J. Funct. Anal. 76 (1988), no. 1, 87–109.

[5] A. Haraux, V. Komornik, *Oscillations of anharmonic Fourier series and the wave equation*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1985), 4, 57–77.

[6] A. Haraux, V. Komornik, *Oscillations in the wave equation*, Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France seminar, Vol. VIII (Paris, 1984-1985), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 166 (1988), 110–119.

[7] V. Komornik, *Density theorems for almost periodic functions. A Hilbert space approach*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 122 (1987), 538–554.

[8] V. Komornik, *On the vibrations of a square membrane*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 111A (1989), 13–20.

[9] V. Komornik, *Density theorems for almost periodic functions. A distributional approach*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 113 (1989), 289–308.

[10] V. Komornik, *On the vibrations of a spherical membrane*, Houston J. Math. 16 (1990), 187–193.

[11] V. Komornik, *On the vibrations of solid balls*, Acta Math. Hungar. 54 (1989), 309–317.

[12] A. Haraux, V. Komornik, *On the vibrations of rectangular plates*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 119A (1991), 47–62.
[13] V. Komornik, *On the vibrations of rectangular membranes*, Differential Integral Equations 6 (1993), 319–327.

Département de mathématique, Université de Strasbourg, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France
E-mail address: fdelage@math.unistra.fr